IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH,
AT HYDERABAD

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R. VARMA
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P. SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO. 22186 OF 2006

Date:03.11.2006

BETWEEN:

1. Kolasani Nageswaramma and others.

…. PETITIONERS

And

1. The Station House Officer, Chimakurthy Police Station, Prakasam District at Ongole and another.

…. RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R. VARMA
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P. SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO. 22186 OF 2006

ORAL ORDER: (per the Hon'ble Sri Justice, D.S.R.Varma)

Heard the learned Government Pleader for Home, representing the learned Advocate General appearing for the respondents.

2. This writ petition is taken up on the basis of a complaint dated nil, made by one Smt. Kolasani Nageswaramma, Smt. Tirumala Setty Bhulakshmi and Akupati Rama Devi to the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad, stating that the police personnel of Chimakurthi Police Station, Prakasam District, have illegally detained their husbands, namely Kolasani Venkateswarlu, Tirumala Setti Venkata Ramanaiah and Akupati Venkateswarlu, respectively.

3. In response to the notice, issued by this Court, the Sub-Inspector of Police, Chimakurthi Police Station, Prakasam District, filed a counter affidavit stating *inter alia* that on 14.04.2006 one Mr.

M. Seetharamaiah has lodged a complaint stating that on 13.04.2006, he went to a temple at Ramatheertham village on his motor cycle bearing No.A.P.-27-H-4962 and parked the same at T.D.P. Prabha and attended the Thirunallu, a Religious celebration, that after attending the same, he returned to the place where he parked his vehicle and found the same missing, and consequently, he lodged a

complaint. Basing on the said complaint, a case in Cr.No.59 of 2006 for the offence punishable under Section 379 IPC was registered, and the same is under investigation. It is also stated that during the course of investigation, the complainant and the other witnesses were also examined and it was found that the said three persons by name Kolasani Sudhakar, Thirumalasetti Ravi and Akupati Srinivasa Rao, who are the sons of the alleged detenues, were involved in the said crime and that the alleged detenues are not at all required in any offence. It is only the sons of alleged detenues, who are required in Crime No.59 of 2006.

4. Further, it has been brought to the notice of this Court that the alleged detenues have been freely moving and to that effect the statements of alleged detenues have been recorded by the Mandal Revenue Officer, Santhanuthalapadu and the copies of statements of the alleged detenues were also annexed to the counter affidavit. The said statements contain the photographs of the alleged detenues.

5. In view of the above, we do not find any merit in the writ petition and the same is liable to be dismissed.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is closed, at the stage of admission. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

(D.S.R. VARMA, J.)

_____

(P. SWAROOP REDDY, J.)

3rd November, 2006
Js.